

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/619,612	07/16/2003	Vincent De Laforcade	05725.1226-00000	6532
22852 7	590 04/18/2006		EXAM	INER
•	HENDERSON, FAR	DOAN, ROBYN KIEU		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		3732		

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/619,612	DE LAFORCADE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robyn Doan	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	larch 2006.	•			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-110 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-38 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 and 39-110 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 July 2003</u> is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/14/04; 7/16/03.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)  Other:				
TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2			

Art Unit: 3732

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 24-38 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/31/06.

Applicant's election without traverse of invention I claims 1-23, 39-110 in the reply filed on 3/31/06 is acknowledged.

# Claim Objections

Claim 67 depends on itself.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-6, 42-44, 62-83 and 92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

**Art Unit: 3732** 

Claims 4-6, 42-44 and 62-83 are indefinite because Applicant claimed the arrangement having at least two teeth and at least one tooth has an outlet aperture in claims 1, 39 and 62, however, in claims 4, 42 and 62, Applicant claimed the first and second end teeth being devoid of outlet apertures. There is an inconsistence in these claims.

Claim 92 is also being indefinite because it is not clearly understood how the at least one other tooth can include two teeth.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9-23, 39, 40, 42-44, 47-63, 65, 68-110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Di Vito (U. S. Pat. # 3,101,086) in view of Kirschenbaum (U. S. Pat. # 2,270,528).

With regard to claims 1, 2, 4-6, 11-17, 39, 40, 42, 44, 49-55, 62, 63, 65, 70-77, 84-86, 91-106, Di Vito discloses a single piece applicator nozzle (20) comprising attachment portion (18) configured to attached the nozzle to a receptacle (10) containing a product, an arrangement of a plurality of teeth (24) disposed in at least one row (fig. 1) comprising first and second end teeth (22), at least one of the teeth (24) defining a channel (fig. 1) being configured to be placed in flow communication with product contained in the receptacle, the channel opening on an exterior of the at least

Art Unit: 3732

one tooth (fig. 1). Di Vito also discloses the end teeth (22) being solid devoid of outlet apertures (col. 1, lines 51-52). Di Vito does not disclose the channel opening on an external lateral surface of at least one tooth via at least one outlet aperture facing in a direction of at least one adjacent tooth, wherein the outlet aperture opens into a groove which has a first end into which the at least one outlet aperture opens and a second end located substantially at a free extremity of the at least one tooth. Kirschenbaum discloses a comb for delivering hair product to the hair and the scalp of a user (figs. 1-8, col. 1, lines 1-4) comprising a body (13), a plurality of teeth (12) attaching to the body; at least two teeth (12), each having an outlet aperture (21, fig. 1 and 36, fig. 4) in flow communication with a channel (21, fig. 1), the outlet aperture opening to an exterior of at least one tooth (12) on an external lateral surface of the at least one tooth (figs. 2-3), at least one groove (30, col. 2, lines 55-61) having a first end into which the at least one outlet aperture opens (fig. 4) and a second end located substantially at a free extremity of the at least one tooth (30a, 30b fig. 8, col. 2, lines 62-66), wherein the groove facing an adjacent tooth (fig. 8). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the tooth with the outlet aperture and the groove configuration as taught by Kirschenbaum into the hollow tooth of Di Vito in order to retard in the flow of the product from the main reservoir to the groove. With regard to the limitations "the arrangement is formed by a single molded piece", Applicant is noted all the claimed structures have been shown, how the arrangement being formed is not given patentable weight in an article claim. Di Vito in view of Kirschenbaum disclose the arrangement being configured so as to present an obstacle

Art Unit: 3732

to product flowing from at least one outlet aperture beyond the first and second end teeth. In regard to claims 9-10, 18-23, 47-48, 56-61, 68-69, 78-83, 87-90 and 107-110, Di Vito shows the attachment portion comprising screw threading configured to engage with screw threading on a neck (16, fig. 1) of the receptacle (col. 1, lines 47-49, fig. 1). Di Vito further discloses a receptacle (10) being in a form of a deformable tube (resilient col. 1, lines 42-43) and the product contained within the receptacle being a hair product (col. 1, lines 57-59).

Claims 3, 7-8, 41, 45-46, 64, 66-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Di Vito in view of Krischenbaum as applied to claims 1, 39 and 62 above, and further in view of Yarbrough (DS cited reference U.S. Pat. # 6,260,557).

With regard to claims 3, 7-8, 41, 45-46, 64, 66-67, Di Vito in view of Kirschenbaum disclose an applicator nozzle in combination with a receptacle comprising all the claimed limitations as discussed above except for the channel opening on the exterior of the at least one tooth via two outlet apertures facing in opposite directions and the location of the outlet aperture being proximate a free extremity of the at least one tooth. Yrabrough discloses an applicator nozzle (fig. 4) comprising a plurality of teeth (3), each tooth having a channel (5) opening on the exterior of the tooth via two outlet apertures (4b, fig. 8) facing in opposite direction. It would having been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the two outlet apertures as taught by Yarbrough into the teeth of Di Vito in view of Kirschenbaum for the purpose of avoiding blocking the orifice

Art Unit: 3732

N. 4.1 India 0700

by contact with the scalp. It would also have been an obvious matter of design choice to locate the outlet aperture as taught by Di Vito in view of Kirschenbaum proximate a free extremity of the at least one tooth, since such a modification would involve a mere change in the location of the known element and one would expect an equivalent effect to the modified component.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kirschenbaum are cited to show the state of the art with respect to a comb having outlet apertures and grooves.

The drawings filed 7/16/03 have been approved by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robyn Doan Examiner Art Unit 3732

1 Shyr

John J. Wilson Primary Examiner